Eurachem approaches to measurement uncertainty evaluation from method validation data





#### Ricardo Bettencout da Silva

Centro de Química Estrutural Institute of Molecular Sciences Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa

### Outline

Introductory information Uncertainty components affecting measurements Quantification, combination and expansion of the MU\* Final remarks

\* - measurement uncertainty









#### Precision uncertainty

If a single measurement is performed:

 $u_{\rm P} = s_{\rm I}$ 

If the result is the mean of *n* measurements performed in different days:

$$u_{\rm P}(n;{\rm dd})=s_{\rm I}/\sqrt{n}$$

If the result is the mean of *m* measurements performed in the same day:

$$u_{\mathrm{P}}(m;\mathrm{sd}) = \sqrt{s_{\mathrm{I}}^2 + s_{\mathrm{r}}^2 \left(\frac{1-n}{n}\right)}$$

 $u_{
m P}$  - precision standard uncertainty

#### **Precision uncertainty**

For measurements applicable to a wide concentration range, precision models should be defined:

• Typically, below  $2c_{LOQ}$ ,  $s_I$  is approximately constant §

• Typically, above  $2c_{LOQ}$ ,  $s'_I = s_I/c$  is approximately constant (model is improved if additional intervals above  $2c_{LOQ}$  are considered)

Interval I ( $c_{LOQ}$  to  $2c_{LOQ}$ ): Constant  $s_{I}\langle I \rangle$ Interval II ( $2c_{LOQ}$  to  $10c_{LOQ}$ ): Constant  $s'_{I}\langle II \rangle$ Interval III ( $10c_{LOQ}$  to  $c_{Max}$ ): Constant  $s'_{I}\langle III \rangle$ 

§ - Instead of 2, another multiplying factor can be used.





Preci	sion ur of total As in	ncertai	nty - E	xample = 0.05 mg kg
Sample	<i>c</i> (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	S <sub>I</sub> (mg kg⁻¹)	<i>s</i> ′ <sub>1</sub>	n
Interval I: Below 20	CLOQ			
А	0.0510	0.0052	-	8
В	0.0880	0.0074	-	9
Model: $u_{ m P}=\sqrt{rac{0.00}{2}}$	52 <sup>2</sup> (8-1)+0.0074 <sup>2</sup> (9-1) (8-1)+(9-1)	$\frac{1}{2} = 0.00647 \text{ mg k}$	g <sup>-1</sup>	
Interval II: Above 2	c <sub>LOQ</sub>			
С	0.120	-	5.3%	10
D	0.452	-	4.9%	11
Model: $u'_{\rm P} = \sqrt{\frac{5.3}{2}}$	$\frac{\%^2(10-1)+4.9^2(11-1)}{(10-1)+(11-1)}$ :	= <b>5</b> . <b>09</b> %		

#### 6

#### **Recovery uncertainty**

Uncertainty for the management of systematic effects.

- requires the analysis of samples with known concentration
- involves deciding if observed relevant systematic effects should be corrected on results:

Correct results for relevant recovery if mandatory or allowed
 Do not correct results if correction is not allowed

#### A Recovery uncertainty

Mean recovery uncertainty

Standard uncertainty,  $u_{\overline{R}}$ , of the overall mean recovery,  $\overline{R}$ , estimated from *N* mean recoveries,  $\overline{R}_i$ , determined from the analysis of *N* reference material in  $n_i$  different days:

$$u_{\overline{R}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\overline{c}_{i}}{C_{i}}\right)^{2} \left[ \left(\frac{s_{I}(c_{i})}{\overline{c}_{i}\sqrt{n_{i}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u(C_{i})}{C_{i}}\right)^{2} \right] / N}$$

 $C_i$  and  $\overline{c}_i$  are the reference and mean of measured values ( $\overline{R}_i = \overline{c}_i / C_i$ ).

<section-header><section-header><text>



#### Additional uncertainty

Relevant components not expressed in  $u_{
m P}$  and  $u_{\overline{
m R}}$ :

Example:

Sampling uncertainty if an item larger than the laboratory sample is to be characterised.



Eurachem/EUROLAB/CITAC/Nordtest/AMC Guide: Measurement uncertainty arising from sampling: a guide to methods and approaches. Second Edition, Eurachem (2019).

C. Borges, et al., Optimization of river sampling: application to nutrients distribution in Tagus river estuary, Anal. Chem. 91 (2019) 5698-5705

17

### **Combination and expansion**

Interval I [ $c_{LOQ}$ ,  $2c_{LOQ}$ ]:

$$U = 2 \sqrt{u_{\mathrm{P}}^2 \langle \mathrm{I} 
angle + \left( c_{\square} \cdot u'_{\,\overline{R}} 
ight)^2}$$

Interval II [ $2c_{LOQ}, c_{Max}$ ]:

$$U=2c_{\Box}\sqrt{u'_{
m P}^2\langle {
m II}
angle+u'_{\overline{R}}^2}$$

where  $c_{\square}$  is c or  $c_c$ , and U the expanded uncertainty for 95% confidence level.





#### **Final remarks**

• Top-down uncertainty evaluations are popular for their simplicity, but frequently some simplifications hide relevant details.

• 24 years after introducing the MU concept in accredited laboratories, this concept is being used seriously in conformity assessments...therefore, we must be more careful in our MU evaluations.



## A focus for analytical chemistry in Europe

# Thanks for your attention

https://mechem.rd.ciencias.ulisboa.pt/